

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,677		02/13/2004	Nicholas J. Stone	Q79884 5876 EXAMINER	
23373	7590	02/09/2005			
SUGHRUE		•	HA, NGUYEN T		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2831	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,677	STONE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nguyen T Ha	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	lovember 2004					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4 and 8-17 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
•						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0904. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of embodiment I (claims 1-2 and 5-7) in the reply filed on 11/17/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that embodiment II, III and IV would be co-extensive. This is not found persuasive because the other embodiments would be classified in a different class than claims 1-2 and 5-7.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 6-7, line 1, "the semiconducting layer" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barber et al. (US 6,242,989).

Regarding claim 1, Barber et al. disclose a use as a non-linear capacitor of an electronic device (figures 3-6) including first (516), second (522) and third conductor layers (508) respectively arranged as the source, drain and gate electrodes of a field effect transistor (T1 figure 20), the third conductor layer (508) being capacitively coupled

Art Unit: 2831

with both the first and second conductor layers (figure 5), wherein the use includes applying an alternating voltage (V1) across the third conductor layer and either of the first and second conductor layers whilst leaving the other of the first and second conductor layer at a floating potential (figure 5).

Regarding claim 2, Barber et al. disclose the third conductor layer (508) is capacitively coupled with the second conductor layer to a greater degree than with the first conductor layer, and wherein an alternating voltage (V1) being applied across the first (516) and third conductor layers (508) whilst leaving the second conductor layer at a floating potential (figure 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barber et al. (US 6,242,989) in view of Dimitrakopoulos et al (US 6,344,662).

Regarding claim 5, Barber et al. disclose all the claimed limitations discussed above with respect to claim 1, except for the layers constituting the field effect transistor is deposited by solution processing (column 5, lines 54-62).

Dimitrakopoulos et al. teach the layers constituting the field effect transistor is deposited by solution processing (column 5, lines 54-62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the process of Dimitrakopoulos et al. in Barber et al., in order to improve the quality and enhance the dielectric constant.

Regarding claim 6, the teaching of Dimitrakopoulos et al. includes the semiconducting layer of the field effect transistor is a molecular organic semiconductor (column 5, lines 23-28).

Regarding claim 7, the teaching of Dimitrakopoulos et al. includes the semiconducting layer of the field effect transistor is a conjugated polymer semiconductor (column 5, lines 23-28).

Citation Relevant of Prior Art

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Alping et al. (US 6,380,600) disclose micro-electromechanical arrangement.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/777,677

Art Unit: 2831

b. Cao (US 6,674,116) discloses variable capacitor using MOS gated diode with multiple segments to limit DC current.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen T. Ha February 3, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800